



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231

SERIAL NUMBER	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED APPLICANT		ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
<del></del>	,623 - 01/2	5/94 LEYBA		F 72847, P00
	84mi/031		MCE	IEKAMINERI:
RLAKEL	y. sokológ	FF, TAYLOR & ZAFMAN	L	
		.VD., 7TH FLOOR	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	GELES, CA S			401 7

DATE MAILED: 03/13/95

## Below is a communication from the EXAMINER in charge of this application

## COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

## **ADVISORY ACTION**

✓ THE	PERIOD FOR RESPONSE:
a) 💢 i	s extended to run or continues to run from the date of the final rejection
ь) 🔲 (	expires three months from the date of the final rejection or as of the mailing date of this Advisory Action, whichever is later. In no event however, will the statutory period for the response expire later than six months from the date of the final rejection.
•	Any extension of time must be obtained by filing a petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a), the proposed response and the appropriate fee. The date on which the response, the petition, and the fee have been filed is the date of the response and also the date for the purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. Any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.17 will be calculated from the date of the originally set shortened statutory period for response or as set forth in b) above.
Appe	allant's Brief is due in accordance with 37 CFR 1.192(a).
	cant's response to the final rejection, filed has been considered with the following effect, but it is not deemed ace the application in condition for allowance:
1. 🔀 T	he proposed amendments to the claim and /or specification will not be entered and the final rejection stands because:
ŧ	There is no convincing showing under 37 CFR 1.116(b) why the proposed amendment is necessary and was not earlier presented.
t	. They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search. (See Note).
d	They raise the issue of new matter. (See Note).
, (	They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal.
6	. They present additional claims without cancelling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.
1	OTE: The new Imitation is confusing since it seems to imply that the perel occupies an opening in the longitudinal start the got bad the penel creaters a pocket and as since, must be special from the sidewall of the golf bag.
	lewly proposed or amended claims would be allowed if submitted in a separately filed amendment cancelling ne non-allowable claims.
_	Ipon the filing an appeal, the proposed amendment  will be entered will not be entered and the status of the claims will e as follows:
	ALLAN N. SHOAP
	SUPERVISORY DATEMY EVALUATED
,	However: GROUP 2400
	Applicant's response has overcome the following rejection(s):
4. 🔲 1 -	he affidavit, exhibit or request for reconsideration has been considered but does not overcome the rejection because
	ne affidavit or exhibit will not be considered because applicant has not shown good and sufficent reasons why it was not earlier resented.
☐ The o	oposed drawing correction  has has not been approved by the examiner.
Other	